

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	· FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,029	03/31/2004	Sachin Govind Deshpande	SLA1488	7808
GERALD W. N	7590 01/29/200 MALISZEWSKI	EXAMINER		
P. O. BOX 270	829	KASSA, YOSEF		
SAN DIEGO,	CA 92198-2829		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	
•	•			
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	01/29/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

.1				/1		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	_		
Office Action Summary		10/815,029	DESHPANDE, SACHIN GOVIND			
		Examiner	Art Unit	-		
		YOSEF KASSA	2624			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports. Or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SH WHIO - Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. D period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 N	March 2004				
2a)□	·	s action is non-final.				
,—	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merit					
٠,	closed in accordance with the practice under					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
•	Claim(s) 1-74 is/are pending in the application					
1/63	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s)is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-6,13-15,36,37,39-45 and 51-53</u> is/a	are rejected.				
7)🖂	Claim(s) 7-12,16-35,38,46-50 and 54-74 is/are	e objected to.				
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	•			
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc		Examiner.			
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
,	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.	•			
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in Applicati	ion No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage			
	application from the International Burea					
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
		•				
Attach						
Attachmer 1) ⊠ Notic	nus) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>03/31/04</u> ,06/13/05.	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ratent Application			
	Indeped Office			_		

10/815,029 Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6, 13-15, 36, 37, 39-45 and 51-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Devaney et al (U.S. Patent 5819035), and further in view of Kryukov et al (U.S Patent 7003174).

Regard to claim 1, Devaney discloses accepting a plurality of image pixels (refer to col. 25-30);

in response to the first group data, deciding if the test pixel includes image ringing artifacts (refer to col. 7, lines 15-22);

collecting data from a first group of pixels neighboring a test pixel (refer to col. 5, lines 12-25);

replacing the test pixel actual value with FV (refer to col. 5, lines 22-30).

Devaney does not disclose expressly for in response to the second group data, generating a filtered value. However, at the same field of endeavor, Kryukov discloses this feature (please refer to col. 7, lines 15-22). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person

10/815,029 Art Unit: 2624

of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching Kryukov image filtering system into Devaney system. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to provide the process of reducing artifacts in an image previously processed by block transformation (refer to col. 5, lines 45-60 or Kryukov). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Kryukov with Devaney to obtain the invention as specified in claim 1.

Regard to claim 2, Devaney discloses wherein collecting data from a second group of pixels neighboring a test pixel includes performing a mathematical operation on the second group of pixels (refer to col. 5, lines 12-22.

Regard to claim 3, Devaney discloses wherein collecting data from a first group of pixels neighboring a test pixel includes performing a mathematical operation on the first group of pixels (refer to col. 5, lines 12-22).

Regard to claim 4, Devaney discloses wherein collecting data from a first group of pixels neighboring a test pixel further includes comparing the results of the mathematical operation to a threshold (refer to col. 8, lines 12-16).

Regard to claim 5, Devaney discloses wherein performing a mathematical operation on the first group of pixels includes: defining a matrix; and, multiplying the first group of pixels by the matrix (refer to col. 5, lines 12-17 and col. 8, lines 12-18).

Regard to claim 6, Devaney discloses wherein the matrix is defined such that a zero value is assigned to the position of the test pixel in the matrix (refer to col. 11, lines 9-16).

Regard to claim 13, Devaney discloses decoding compressed image information; and, wherein accepting a plurality of image pixels includes accepting the decoded image information (refer to col. 3, lines 35-42).

Application/Control Number:

10/815,029

Art Unit: 2624

Regard to claim 14, Devaney discloses wherein collecting data from a second group of pixels neighboring the test pixel includes adding the test pixel to the second group of pixels (refer to col. 8, lines 12-23).

Regard to claim 15, Devaney fails to disclose collecting data from a second group of pixels neighboring the test pixel includes collecting data from 8 pixels neighboring the test pixel. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to provide collecting data from 8 pixels neighboring. Applicant's have not disclosed that collecting data from 8 pixels neighboring provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected applicant's invention to perform equally well with either collecting data from 6 pixels neighboring taught by Devaney or the claimed limitation, collecting data from 8 pixels neighboring, because both perform the same function that is, collecting neighboring pixels information. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine to one of ordinary skill in this art to modify Devaney to obtain the invention as specified in claim 15.

Regard to claim 39, Devaney disclose generating a map value for each pixel in the second group; and, using pixels from the second group to calculate FV, if they are equal to a first map value (refer to col. 8, lines 60-64 and Fig. 7A).

Regard to claim 41, Devaney disclose wherein the filter performs a mathematical operation on the second group of pixels (refer to col. 5, lines 1-5).

Regard to claim 42, Devaney disclose wherein the decision unit performs a mathematical operation on the first group of pixels (refer to col. 12-15).

10/815,029 Art Unit: 2624

Regard to claim 43, Devaney disclose wherein the decision unit compares the results of the mathematical operation to a threshold (refer to col. 8, lines 12-18).

Claims 44 and 45 are similarly analyzed the same as claims 5 and 6.

Claims 40-45 are similarly analyzed the same as claims 1-6.

Claims 36 and 37 are similarly analyzed the same as claims 1 and 5.

Claims 51-53 are similarly analyzed the same as claims 13-15.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 7-12, 16-35, 38, 46-50 and 54-74 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Other Prior Art Cited

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US Patent No. (5598184), (5581371), (6728414), (6539060) and (6115503).

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to YOSEF KASSA whose telephone number is (571) 272-7452. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 6:30 PM.

Application/Control Number:

10/815,029 Art Unit: 2624

01/22/2008.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Werner can be reached on (571) 272-7401. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300 for regular communication and (571) 273-8300 for after Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the customer service office whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

YOSEF KASSA BRIMARY EXAMINER